



AGENDA

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL

Date: TUESDAY, 16 DECEMBER 2014 at 7.05 pm

**Committee Rooms 1 & 2
Civic Suite
Lewisham Town Hall
London SE6 4RU**

**Enquiries to: Olga Cole
Telephone: 0208 314 8577 (direct line)
Email: olga.cole@lewisham.gov.uk**

MEMBERS

Councillor Alan Hall	Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee	(L)
Councillor Gareth Siddorn	Vice Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee	(L)
Councillor Liam Curran	Chair of Sustainable Development Select Committee	(L)
Councillor Brenda Dacres	Labour Group Representative	(L)
Councillor Carl Handley	Chair of Housing Select Committee	(L)
Councillor Jim Mallory	Labour Group Representative	(L)
Councillor Jamie Milne	Chair of Public Accounts Committee	(L)
Councillor Pauline Morrison	Chair of Safer and Stronger Communities Select Committee	(L)
Councillor John Muldoon	Chair of Healthier Communities Select Committee	(L)
Councillor John Paschoud	Chair of Children and Young People Select Committee	(L)

Members are summoned to attend this meeting

**Barry Quirk
Chief Executive
Lewisham Town Hall
Catford
London SE6 4RU
Date: Monday, 8 December 2014**



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The public are welcome to attend our committee meetings, however occasionally committees may have to consider some business in private. Copies of reports can be made available in additional formats on request.

ORDER OF BUSINESS – PART 1 AGENDA

Item No		Page No.s
1.	Minutes	1 - 6
2.	Declarations of Interests	7 - 10
3.	Outstanding Scrutiny Items	11
4.	Notification of Late and Urgent Items	12
5.	Decisions Made By Mayor and Cabinet on 3 December 2014	13 - 14
6.	Bakerloo Line Extension Consultation	15 - 21
7.	Overview and Scrutiny Select Committees Work Programmes 2014/15 - verbal update	
8.	Exclusion of the Press and Public	22
9.	Decisions made by Mayor and Cabinet (Contracts) on 3 December 2014	23 - 25



Lewisham



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The public are welcome to attend our committee meetings, however occasionally committees may have to consider some business in private. Copies of reports can be made available in additional formats on request.

Agenda Item 1

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL		
Report Title	Minutes	
Key Decision		Item No. 1
Ward	All	
Contributors	Chief Executive	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

Recommendation

It is recommended that the minutes of that part of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Business Panel which was open to the press and public, held on 25 November 2014 be confirmed and signed.

LONDON BOROUGH OF LEWISHAM

Minutes

MINUTES of that part of the meeting of the OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL, which was open to the press and public, held on TUESDAY, 25 NOVEMBER 2014 at LEWISHAM TOWN HALL, CATFORD, SE6 4RU at 7.10PM

Present

Councillors Hall (Chair), Dacres, Handley, Muldoon, John Paschoud and Siddorn

Apologies were received from Councillors Curran, Milne and Morrison.

<u>Minute No.</u>	<u>Action</u>
1.	<u>MINUTES</u> RESOLVED that the minutes of that part of the meeting of the Panel, which was open to the press and public, held on 4 November 2014 be confirmed and signed.
2.	<u>DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS</u> (page)
2.1	None.
3.	<u>OUTSTANDING SCRUTINY MATTERS</u>
3.1	Report noted.
4.	<u>NOTIFICATION OF LATE AND URGENT ITEMS</u>
4.1	Report noted.
5.	<u>DECISIONS MADE BY MAYOR & CABINET ON 22 OCTOBER 2014</u> <u>Extra Care Service Kenton Court and Somerville</u>
5.1	The Chair said following pre-decision scrutiny, Health Watch had indicated they had some comments to make on behalf of residents. They were unable to provide formal comments prior to their meeting on Wednesday. The Chair said they would have the opportunity to make representation to the Healthier Community Select Committee in future if they so wish. The Chair thanked Community Services officers for attending the meeting. RESOLVED that the decision of the Mayor be noted.

Financial Forecasts and Treasury Mid-Year Review

- 5.2 The Head of Financial Services introduced the report. Councillor Mallory said he had asked at last week's Audit Panel meeting why the Corporate Expenditure Panel (CEP) had not been in operation earlier, and had not been fully satisfied with the answer he was given. Councillor Mallory said it was hard to believe earlier implementation would not have had a more beneficial impact.
- 5.3 The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said senior officers had realised in July that the budget pressure was not decreasing as expected, especially with the no recourse to public fund, bed and breakfast demands which were proving very challenging. The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said that with the responsibility of managing the budget she had previously put in place the Departmental Expenditure Panel (DEP), but senior officers realised they had to think of a new plan to address the situation, thus CEP had been established.
- 5.4 The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said the Head of Financial Services was right to say that it might not have made much difference. The CEP had now been put in place because things were not getting better and senior officers have acknowledged there has to be a fundamental culture change going forward. Councillor Mallory said he hoped CEP would continue to function for a longer term. The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said she would not expect CEP to be a long term strategy, as it would lose its impact, therefore it might only last till the end of this financial year.
- 5.5 The Chair asked if the Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration could assure Panel members that the pressures would now come down. The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said that senior officers would re-examine the budget, and Select Committees would be able to see an updated version, and make comments before the report went back to Mayor and Cabinet in February. She added that although the pressure was easing, the Council still have a lot to do, and budget holders would need to be vigilant.
- 5.6 The Chair asked whether the Council had received legal confirmation following the proposal to transfer commercial asset and garages from the HRA to the General Fund in 2015/16. The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said they have not, and that it was not part of this year's savings. She added that Panel members would be updated on progress.

ED Res.
Regen.

Minute No.

Action

5.7 Councillor Dacres raised concerns about the School Transport budget which was overspent by £0.7m. The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said that she understood that there was still pressure in this area, and the savings have not been delivered within the timescale. She said she would be having a discussion on savings, and review policy with the Children and Young People Directorate. Councillor Dacres asked how comfortable CYP was that they could meet the budget target in schools. The Executive Director for Children and Young People said she would get back to Councillor Dacres with a response. The Chair thanked officers for the report.

ED Res.
Regen.

RESOLVED that the decision of the Mayor be noted.

Lewisham Future Programme 2015/16 Revenue Budget Savings

5.8 The Chair requested all responses to Select Committee referrals on the budget that went to Mayor and Cabinet to be circulated to Select Committee members.

5.9 The Chair said work was in progress following Business Panel's recommendation to Council for a time limited task and finish group to look at the Youth Services. Councillor Mallory said at the Mayor and Cabinet meeting it felt like a difficult juggling act was in process. The Chair said that he understood that the group would need to work quickly. The Chair said that Panel members would need commitment from officers to provide financial and legal advice to the group.

5.10 The acting Head of Scrutiny stated that the notice for the 9 December meeting will be going out on Thursday. The Chair said that he would hope most of the work would be done between meetings.

5.11 The Chair went through the draft minutes extract of the Revenue Budget Savings 2015/16 and the Panel noted the decisions made at Mayor and Cabinet on the budget as follows;

5.12 All savings were to return to Mayor & Cabinet apart from those delegated to officers, namely;

A5	A7	A10	E2	E3	E4	E5
F1	G1	I1	J1	K1	K3	L2
M1	O2	O3	P1			

5.13 Of these savings delegated to officers all those passing the £500k threshold would automatically be considered as key decisions and be subject to Scrutiny at the Overview & Scrutiny Business Panel. The savings automatically to be reported to Business Panel would be;

Minute No.

Action

A10	E2	E4	F1
G1	I1	J1	K1
M1	O3		

5.14 In respect of the other savings delegated to officers;

A5	A7	E3	E5
K3	L2	O2	P1

a judgement would be made on a case by case basis as to whether they were liable to be classed as key decisions on any of the other grounds described in the Council’s Constitution, most notably the significant impact on two or more wards test.

5.15 Panel Members noted that the Head of Law stated at the Mayor & Cabinet that she expected all decisions, whether key or not, to be made after due consultation and after consideration of reports with comprehensive legal, financial and equalities advice.

5.16 The Chair requested officers to check whether all delegated decisions emerging from the Revenue Budget Savings were key decisions, and if so a matrix featuring the timeline for these decisions was to be provided for Select Committees Chairs. The Chair said Panel members needed to be clear as to when and by whom these decisions would be taken, and that proper processes were being followed. The Chair added that all relevant Select Committee Chairs should be notified before delegated decisions are taken, and the Chair of Healthier Communities Select Committee notified when the A10 proposal was being put in place.

Acting
Head of
Scrutiny

5.17 The Chair and stated that he would discuss the processes with officers outside the meeting.

- RESOLVED that
- i. the decision of the Mayor be noted.
 - ii. responses to select committees referrals Mayor and Cabinet on the budget be circulated to all Select Committee members.
 - iii. a matrix of delegated decisions be provided to ensure clarity on the timetable and processes.
 - iv. the Chairs of the relevant select committees should be notified before delegated decisions are taken.
 - v. the Chair of Healthier Communities Select Committee be notified when the A10 proposals are being put in place.

Minute No.

Action

6. OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY SELECT COMMITTEES WORK PROGRAMMES 2014/15

6.1 Councillor Muldoon said he had asked for an all members briefing on Adult Social Care since the last election, and noted that it would be taking place on 12 January 2015. Councillor Mallory reported that last night's meeting on sexual exploitation of children was very interesting.

6.2 Councillor Dacres said members would need an update in January on Asset Management following the last presentation. She said it would be interesting to know how things were progressing. The Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration said she has seen a demonstration, and although the system was not yet completed it currently looked good. She said an update would be going to Sustainable Development Select Committee soon. The Chair said it would be good for Sustainable Development Select Committee to add this item to their work programme.

ED Res.
Regen.

Head of
Scrutiny

Meeting ended : 8.00pm

Agenda Item 2

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL		
Report Title	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
Key Decision		Item No. 2
Ward		
Contributors	Chief Executive	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

Members are asked to declare any personal interest they have in any item on the agenda.

1 Personal interests

There are three types of personal interest referred to in the Council's Member Code of Conduct :-

- (1) Disclosable pecuniary interests
- (2) Other registerable interests
- (3) Non-registerable interests

2 Disclosable pecuniary interests are defined by regulation as:-

- (a) Employment, trade, profession or vocation of a relevant person* for profit or gain
- (b) Sponsorship –payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than by the Council) within the 12 months prior to giving notice for inclusion in the register in respect of expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member or towards your election expenses (including payment or financial benefit from a Trade Union).
- (c) Undischarged contracts between a relevant person* (or a firm in which they are a partner or a body corporate in which they are a director, or in the securities of which they have a beneficial interest) and the Council for goods, services or works.
- (d) Beneficial interests in land in the borough.
- (e) Licence to occupy land in the borough for one month or more.
- (f) Corporate tenancies – any tenancy, where to the member's knowledge, the Council is landlord and the tenant is a firm in which the relevant person* is a

partner, a body corporate in which they are a director, or in the securities of which they have a beneficial interest.

- (g) Beneficial interest in securities of a body where:-
- (a) that body to the member's knowledge has a place of business or land in the borough; and
 - (b) either
 - (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or 1/100 of the total issued share capital of that body; or
 - (ii) if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which the relevant person* has a beneficial interest exceeds 1/100 of the total issued share capital of that class.

*A relevant person is the member, their spouse or civil partner, or a person with whom they live as spouse or civil partner.

(3) Other registerable interests

The Lewisham Member Code of Conduct requires members also to register the following interests:-

- (a) Membership or position of control or management in a body to which you were appointed or nominated by the Council
- (b) Any body exercising functions of a public nature or directed to charitable purposes, or whose principal purposes include the influence of public opinion or policy, including any political party
- (c) Any person from whom you have received a gift or hospitality with an estimated value of at least £25

(4) Non registerable interests

Occasions may arise when a matter under consideration would or would be likely to affect the wellbeing of a member, their family, friend or close associate more than it would affect the wellbeing of those in the local area generally, but which is not required to be registered in the Register of Members' Interests (for example a matter concerning the closure of a school at which a Member's child attends).

(5) Declaration and Impact of interest on members' participation

- (a) Where a member has any registerable interest in a matter and they are present at a meeting at which that matter is to be discussed, they must declare the nature of the interest at the earliest opportunity and in any

event before the matter is considered. The declaration will be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. If the matter is a disclosable pecuniary interest the member must take no part in consideration of the matter and withdraw from the room before it is considered. They must not seek improperly to influence the decision in any way. **Failure to declare such an interest which has not already been entered in the Register of Members' Interests, or participation where such an interest exists, is liable to prosecution and on conviction carries a fine of up to £5000**

- (b) Where a member has a registerable interest which falls short of a disclosable pecuniary interest they must still declare the nature of the interest to the meeting at the earliest opportunity and in any event before the matter is considered, but they may stay in the room, participate in consideration of the matter and vote on it unless paragraph (c) below applies.
- (c) Where a member has a registerable interest which falls short of a disclosable pecuniary interest, the member must consider whether a reasonable member of the public in possession of the facts would think that their interest is so significant that it would be likely to impair the member's judgement of the public interest. If so, the member must withdraw and take no part in consideration of the matter nor seek to influence the outcome improperly.
- (d) If a non-registerable interest arises which affects the wellbeing of a member, their, family, friend or close associate more than it would affect those in the local area generally, then the provisions relating to the declarations of interest and withdrawal apply as if it were a registerable interest.
- (e) Decisions relating to declarations of interests are for the member's personal judgement, though in cases of doubt they may wish to seek the advice of the Monitoring Officer.

(6) Sensitive information

There are special provisions relating to sensitive interests. These are interests the disclosure of which would be likely to expose the member to risk of violence or intimidation where the Monitoring Officer has agreed that such interest need not be registered. Members with such an interest are referred to the Code and advised to seek advice from the Monitoring Officer in advance.

(7) Exempt categories

There are exemptions to these provisions allowing members to participate in decisions notwithstanding interests that would otherwise prevent them doing so. These include:-

- (a) Housing – holding a tenancy or lease with the Council unless the matter relates to your particular tenancy or lease; (subject to arrears exception)
- (b) School meals, school transport and travelling expenses; if you are a parent or guardian of a child in full time education, or a school governor unless the matter relates particularly to the school your child attends or of which you are a governor;
- (c) Statutory sick pay; if you are in receipt
- (d) Allowances, payment or indemnity for members
- (e) Ceremonial honours for members
- (f) Setting Council Tax or precept (subject to arrears exception)

Agenda Item 3

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL		
Report Title	Outstanding Scrutiny Matters	
Key Decision	No	Item No. 3
Ward		
Contributors	Head of Business and Committee	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

1. Purpose of Report

To report on items previously reported to the Mayor for response by directorates and to indicate the likely future reporting date.

2. Recommendation

That the reporting date of the item shown in the table below be noted.

Report Title	Responding Author	Date Considered by Mayor & Cabinet	Scheduled Reporting Date	Slippage since last report
Comments of the Children and Young People Select Committee on Raising the Participation Age	ED CYP	3 December 2014	18 February 2015	No

BACKGROUND PAPERS and AUTHOR

Mayor & Cabinet minutes 3 December 2014 available from Kevin Flaherty 0208 3149327.

Agenda Item 4

Overview & Scrutiny Business Panel		
Report Title	Notification of Late and Urgent Items	
Key Decision	No	Item No. 4
Ward		
Contributors	Head of Business and Committee	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

1. Purpose of Report

Report Title	Author	Reasons Stated for Urgency	Responsible Committee and Date
Lewisham future programme: public health consultation	ED Community Services, Director of Public Health	The report was not available for despatch on Thursday 20 November due to it requiring additional legal input prior to publication.	Healthier Communities Select Committee 3 December 2014

Agenda Item 5

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL		
Report Title	Decisions made by Mayor and Cabinet on 3 December 2014	
Key Decision		Item No. 5
Ward	All	
Contributors	Chief Executive/Head of Business and Committee	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

1. Recommendation

To consider key decisions made by the Mayor and Cabinet on 3 December 2014 which will come in to force on 17 December 2014.

2. Background

2.1 The Mayor and Cabinet considered the following key decisions on 3 December 2014.-

2.2 The notice of decisions made in respect of the reports are attached as an Appendix. Under the provisions of Standing Orders Part IV E 14, members may call in an executive decision within 7 days. If these reports are not called in they will come into force on 17 December 2014.

- (i) Parking – Annual Report for 2013/14
- (ii) Planning Services: Annual Monitoring Report 2013/14



NOTICE OF DECISIONS MADE AT THE MAYOR & CABINET

The Mayor & Cabinet made the following decisions on 3 December 2014. These Decisions will become effective on 17 December 2014 unless called in by the Overview & Scrutiny Business Panel on 16 December 2014.

1. Parking – Annual Report for 2013/14

Having considered an officer report, and a presentation by the Cabinet Member for the Public Realm, Councillor Rachel Onikosi, the Mayor agreed that:

- (i) progress on the implementation of the Parking Policy Review Recommendations be noted:
- (ii) progress of this year's CPZ programme be noted;
- (iii) the priority list for phase 2 of the CPZ programme be noted:
- (iv) the annual financial performance as set out be noted.

2. Planning Service: Annual Monitoring Report 2013-14

Having considered an officer report, the Mayor agreed that the content of the AMR 2013-14 be received and its publication and placement on the Council's website be approved.

Barry Quirk
Chief Executive
Lewisham Town Hall
Catford SE6 4RU
4 December 2014

Agenda Item 6

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL		
Title	Bakerloo Line Extension Consultation	
Key Decision	No	Item No. 6
Ward	All Wards	
Contributors	Executive Director for Resources and Regeneration	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

1. Summary

TfL have recently launched a public consultation on the Bakerloo Line Extension, and LB Lewisham has appointed experts in rail infrastructure and development planning to work on the Council's formal response. The Council will therefore be submitting a robust response to the consultation, drawing together all the benefits and opportunities presented by such a major investment in transport infrastructure, including opportunities for regeneration, housing and place-making.

2. Purpose

The purpose of this report is to advise on the Council's latest position on the Bakerloo Line Extension, and to inform the Council's response to TfL's consultation. At the meeting, this report will be accompanied by a Draft Response to the consultation, following input from the Sustainable Development Select Committee on 9 December 2014.

3. Recommendations

It is recommended that the Chair endorse the contents of the Draft Response and provide comments as appropriate to be considered for inclusion in the final response which is to be presented for approval to Mayor and Cabinet on 14 January 2015.

4. Policy context

The Bakerloo Line Extension is a key component of the long term transport strategy for Lewisham, and would bring a range of economic, environmental and social improvements to the borough. The benefits of the proposal are formally recognised within the Mayor of London's Transport Strategy, and by Transport for London's East Sub-Region Transport Plan.

Within the London Mayor's Transport Strategy (published May 2010) the Bakerloo Line is acknowledged as having an important role in London's transport geography, serving the strategic northwest-southeast corridor. It states that a Bakerloo southern extension "would allow the line to serve inner and outer southeast London. This would create a new southeast to northwest strategic route through the Capital, serving areas with poor transport accessibility and freeing up National Rail capacity at London Bridge for other service Improvements".

More recently, the London Infrastructure Plan 2050 emphasises the importance of high-capacity, high-frequency radial links, and highlights the Bakerloo Line Extension as a leading option to deliver such enhancements. This would also free up capacity on the rail network, and utilise the spare capacity on the existing section of the Bakerloo Line. This makes the BLE excellent value for money, and one of the most deliverable major rail schemes in London.

5. Background

Since the inclusion of the Bakerloo Line Extension (BLE) within the London Mayor's Transport Strategy, the Council has been supporting and lobbying for the proposals to extend the Bakerloo Line, so that it may support the regeneration and investment within the borough.

However, despite a strong business case, the proposal remains unfunded, and is competing for investment alongside other major transport infrastructure projects in London.

TfL have recently launched a public consultation on the Bakerloo Line Extension, and LB Lewisham has appointed experts in rail infrastructure and development planning to work on the Council's formal response. The Council will therefore be submitting a robust response to the consultation, drawing together all the benefits and opportunities presented by such a major investment in transport infrastructure, including opportunities for regeneration, housing and place-making.

London faces an unprecedented challenge in meeting the demands of expected growth in the capital's population. The population of Lewisham is projected to reach 318,000 by 2021 (a rise of 43,000 since 2011) and reach 352,000 by 2031 (a rise of 34,000 since 2021).

In response, the Council is leading the borough through an intense period of regeneration which is transforming previously deprived areas and re-invigorating local places and town centres. Ambitious plans set out in the Council's current strategies set out a plan for growth which is expected to deliver 18,165 new homes across the borough, and 25,000 m² of retail and leisure space in Lewisham and Catford town centres.

However, in order for the borough to help meet the London-wide challenge in a sustainable way, major infrastructure investment such as the Bakerloo Line Extension is required to optimise the opportunities for new housing, through intensification and transformation of key sites.

6. Options

Three route options are currently being considered:

Route 1 - Elephant and Castle to Beckenham Junction and Hayes
via Old Kent Road

Route 2 – Elephant and Castle to Beckenham Junction and Hayes
via Camberwell and Peckham

Route 3 – As Option 1 with link to Bromley

7. Business Case

Despite the current funding gap for major transport projects in London (the scheme is currently unfunded), the project is not prohibitively expensive by rail standards. Transport for London estimate the cost at £2.3 to £2.8 billion. The project is anticipated to stimulate benefits of up to three times the cost, with a predicted Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCR) in excess of 3.

The transport business case - the scheme will:

- Create a new strategic route through the Capital (southeast to northwest);
- Introduce high frequency and high capacity tube services;
- Free-up congested rail paths into London Bridge;
- Enhance national rail routes to the South East (reduced journey times)
- Relieve the bottleneck at Lewisham Station;
- Utilise spare capacity on the Bakerloo Line;
- Provide relief to the Jubilee Line;
- Create opportunities to improve accessibility in the south of the borough;
- Provide economic benefits by adding value and promoting growth.

8. Timescales

The current TfL consultation closes to the public on 7 December 2014. However, the Council and TfL have agreed an extension to the consultation to allow for a detailed response to be developed and submitted by 16 January 2015. The response will be informed by the meeting of the Sustainable Development Select Committee and will be presented to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 16 December, before being submitted for approval by Mayor & Cabinet on 14 January 2015.

While the delivery of the Bakerloo Line Extension would be relatively straightforward, when compared to other major rail infrastructure projects, there are detailed process that must be followed in relation to design, consultation and establishing the necessary permissions.

Current work indicates an earliest possible opening by 2030. However, given the criticality of the proposal to sustaining future growth in south east London, every effort will be made to bring forward the delivery of the project to the earliest possible date.

9. Activity to date

There have been a number of historic proposals to extend the Bakerloo Line, but the current proposals have been developing since 2007/08.

Throughout this period, LB Lewisham have actively supporting the development of the proposals, in conjunction with GLA, TfL, LB Southwark, and to a lesser extent, LB Bromley.

This activity has stepped up significantly since October 2012, when Sir Steve Bullock wrote a joint letter with LB Southwark to the Mayor of London in support of the proposals.

2007/08 – MottMacdonald Engineering Feasibility work identified options 1&2

May 2010 – BLE and DLR extension to Bromley included in MTS (Mayor's Transport Strategy)

Aug 2010 – South East London Rail Access Study (SELRAS)

Jul 2011 – Network Rail published its RUS for London and the South East

2012 – Mayor's manifesto commits to examine Tramlink, DLR & Bakerloo

Oct 2012 – Lewisham and Southwark wrote to Mayor in support of BLE

Aug 2013 – Business case for BLE updated using costs derived from NLE

Autumn 2013 – DLR options considered with alternative LO extension

Nov 2013 – Atkins Planning Assessment completed

Nov 2013 – Lewisham wrote to Isabelle Dedring in support of BLE and LOE package

Jan 2014 – Old Kent Rd and Bromley Centre included as an OA in FALP

Mar 2014 – TfL paper to Mayor on rail extensions in south London

Sep 2014 – TfL launch BLE public consultation (runs to 7 Dec 2014)

10. Integrated package of transport proposals

The Bakerloo Line Extension is part of a wider transport strategy for south-east London. The Council supports an integrated package of public transport improvements, which include:

- Bakerloo Line Extension (~2030)
- London Overground Extension (~2020)
- A package of bus service enhancements between the two lines to address poor levels of accessibility in the south of the borough (~2020)

Such a package is required in order to address three key issues:

- To accommodate levels of development already committed
- To sustain increased levels of development due to population growth
- To improve connectivity in the south of the borough, where public transport accessibility is very poor, and where the correlation with social and economic deprivation is clear.

11. Financial implications

There are no financial implications arising directly from this report.

12. Legal implications

There are no legal implications arising directly from this report.

13. Crime and disorder implications

There are no significant implications for the prevention of crime & disorder. However, any issues will be considered in detail as part of the project design process.

14. Equalities implications

14.1 The Equality Act 2010 became law in October 2010. The Act aims to streamline all previous anti-discrimination laws within a Single Act. The new public sector Equality Duty, which is part of the Equality Act 2010, came into effect on the 5 April 2011.

14.2 *Shaping our future*, Lewisham's Sustainable Community Strategy for 2008-2020, sets out a vision for Lewisham;-

“Together we will make Lewisham the best place in London to live work and learn.”

This is underpinned by hard-edged principles for:

- **reducing inequality** – narrowing the gap in outcomes for citizens
- **delivering together efficiently, effectively and equitably** - ensuring that all citizens have appropriate access to and choice of high quality local services

14.3 Lewisham's Comprehensive Equalities Scheme (CES) 2012-16 describes the Council's commitment to equality for citizens, service users and employees. The CES is underpinned by a set of high level strategic objectives which incorporate the requirements of the Equality Act 2010 and the Public Sector Equality Duty.

The Council equality objectives through the CES include:

To improve access to services

Take reasonable steps to ensure that services are inclusive; responsive to risk; physically accessible and provided through the most efficient and effective channels available.

To close the gap in outcomes for citizens

Take reasonable steps to improve life chances for citizens by reducing outcome gaps that may exist within the borough as well as those that may exist between the borough and elsewhere.

14.4 The Bakerloo Line Extension is part of the Council's long term transport strategy, developed alongside the LIP to ensure that any potential adverse impacts were fully considered and, where necessary, appropriate changes made. The overall findings of the assessment were that the proposals within the LIP do not discriminate or have significant adverse impacts on any of the protected characteristics.

14.5 Instead, the focus will;-

- improve access to public transport and employment opportunities for local residents by putting south east London on the Tube network and supporting development and regeneration in the area

- enhance the well-being and journey experience for all citizens by improving capacity and journey times; relieving crowding; reducing traffic congestion and air pollution by offering new public transport options.

15. Environmental implications

- 15.1. The preparation of the Local Implementation Plan (LIP) has been accompanied by a parallel process of Strategic Environmental Appraisal (SEA). A part of that process involved the development of objectives against which the proposals in the LIP might be assessed.
- 15.2. With regards to cumulative effects the assessment suggest that with all the policies, schemes and measures implemented through the period of the LIP, there are likely to be significant positive effects on SEA objectives relating to health, air quality, promoting more sustainable modes of transport, promoting safer communities, improving road safety, and improving accessibility in the Borough.
- 15.3. The proposed schemes will reduce hazards and make the road environment more attractive for pedestrians and cyclists. It is considered that the imposition of restrictions on vehicle movement referred to in the report, will not adversely impact on either the national or the Council's own air quality strategies.

16. Conclusion

In summary, the Council will be submitting a robust response to the consultation, drawing together all the benefits and opportunities presented by such a major investment in transport infrastructure, including opportunities for regeneration, housing and place-making.

17. Background documents and originator

Short Title Document	Date	File Location	Contact Officer	Exempt
London Infrastructure Plan 2050 – report to OSC	29/09/14	http://councilmeetings.lewisham.gov.uk/documents/s31442/Overview%20and%20Scrutiny%20-%20London%20Infrastructure%20Plan%202050.pdf	S.Moss	
Mayoral response to SDSC on the BLE	12/09/2012	http://councilmeetings.lewisham.gov.uk/documents/s15774/Response%20to%20SDSC%20Bakerloo%20Line.pdf	S.Moss	
BLE – Report to the SDSC	25/04/12	http://councilmeetings.lewisham.gov.uk/documents/s11434/Integrated%20Transport%20-%20Bakerloo%20Extension.pdf	S.Moss	

For further information please contact Simon Moss, Transport Policy and Development Manager, on 020 8314 2269.

Agenda Item 8

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY BUSINESS PANEL		
Report Title	Exclusion of the Press and Public	
Key Decision		Item No. 8
Ward		
Contributors	Chief Executive	
Class	Part 1	Date: 16 December 2014

Recommendation

It is recommended that under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of Part 1 of Schedule 12(A) of the Act, as amended by the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (Amendments) (England) Regulations 2006:-

9. Decisions Made by Mayor and Cabinet (Contracts) on 3 December 2014.

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted